



The Use of Colored Pan Traps to Study Ant Community at Rehabilitated Coal Mining Area in Sawahlunto City, West Sumatera, Indonesia

Ratna J. Tasman ¹⁾, Jabang Nurdin ^{1) *}, Henny Herwina ²⁾, Jasmi Jasmi ³⁾, Muhammad N. Janra ²⁾, and Rijal Satria ⁴⁾

¹⁾ Animal Ecology Laboratory, Biology Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Andalas, Padang, Indonesia (25163)

²⁾ Animal Taxonomy Laboratory, Biology Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Andalas, Padang, Indonesia (25163)

³⁾ Study Program of Work Health and Safety, The High School of Health Sciences Indonesia, Padang, Indonesia

⁴⁾ Biology Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Padang, Padang, Indonesia (25131)

SUBMISSION TRACK

Submitted : 2024-09-19

Revised : 2024-11-05

Accepted : 2024-12-09

Published : 2024-12-20

KEYWORDS

Acacia agroforestry, common species, pan-trapping, pollinator, rice field, secondary forest

*CORRESPONDENCE

email:

jabangnurdinida@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Pan trapping is a popular technique for exploring the diversity of insects (i.e. pollinators) in different settings. The use of traps in the field is effective to produce sample data for its operability as well as needs specific equipment the least. Despite, the pan-trapping is a non-selective catching method with significant possibility of entrapping non-targeted taxa. In this study, coloured pan-trapping was applied to survey ant species diversity at three different habitat types, rice-field, Acacia agroforestry and secondary forest in the site of reclaimed coal mining in Sawahlunto City, West Sumatera, Indonesia. A total of nineteen ant individuals were collected in this study, identified into 11 species, 9 genera, 8 tribes and 3 subfamilies. The most common species was *Camponotus arrogans* recorded from all surveyed habitats. Blue pans collected the most ant species (14 individuals, 9 species), while the yellow ones only recorded one individual of a single species. There might be connection between pan coloration with survey result, with probable affecting factors from habitats and inhabitant species.

INTRODUCTION

A popular technique for sampling insect species is pan-trapping (Krauss *et al.*, 2018; Naqvi *et al.*, 2022). As a passive sampling method, it is useful for determining insect diversity and independent to specific equipment (Vrdoljak and Samways, 2012; Krahnert *et al.*, 2021; Hutchinson *et al.*, 2022). The trap consists of a 20 cm in diameter x 10 cm tall plastic container, filled with propylene glycol, salt, soap water, or a mix of these materials (Buffington *et al.*, 2021). Three distinct colours (white, blue, and yellow) are in use to attract insects based on preference and visual perception (O'Connor *et al.*, 2019; Mendes *et al.*, 2021).

With over 16,000 species recognized globally, ants (Formicidae) are among the most varied insect species (AntWiki, 2024). Depend on its environment, they differ greatly in population and distribution. Ants are ecologically important to recycle nutrients and to disseminate seeds in forest. However, they may also be found at urban environments, inhibiting cracks and fissures

among building, depend mostly on food remnants for foraging. Recent observation confirmed that ants also inhibit reclaimed ex mining area in Sawahlunto City, West Sumatera.

Ants are known to gather nectar from various flowers without risking high cross-pollination incident, differed to the other members of Hymenoptera which considered as effective pollinators. Due to natural antibiotics existing on the surface of ants' body, pollens tend to be impotent when come into contact (US Department of Agriculture, 2024). Studying ant diversity, their interactions to the surrounding habitat along with intrinsic and extrinsic influencing factors to their biology and ecology, offers important insights into the health, function, management, and conservation efforts of ecosystems. Ant population monitoring is an important way to evaluate habitat quality and biodiversity as it is useful in signalling possible disturbances and impending changes in the environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site and methodology

The study had been conducted in October 2022, taken place at Emil Salim Biodiversity Park (ESBP) in Sawahlunto City, West Sumatra, Indonesia (0°37'32.0" S, 100°44'55.3" E and 272-323 m elevation). The site was divided into three

types of habitats. The first was a secondary forest within the rehabilitated ex-mining land covered with various of woody plants, shrubs, and bushes. The second was acacia agroforestry dominated by the standings of *Acacia* spp. and shrubs. The last habitat was a rice field in adjacent to the two habitats within the ESBP site.



Figure 1. Snippet on surveyed habitats in Emil Salim Biodiversity Park: (A) Secondary Forest; (B) *Acacia* agroforestry; (C) Rice field

A set of pan traps with blue, yellow, and white-coloured containers were deployed. These containers were attached to forked wooden stick; each of this arrangement was positioned every 15 m of sixty transect lines erected in each surveyed habitat. A combination of formaldehyde, water, and detergent solution was poured into to containers. Traps were checked daily in which the trapped insects collected and stored into tubes with alcohol. After three days of survey, the collected samples were taken to the Invertebrate Taxonomy Laboratory in Universitas Andalas. In the laboratory, samples were sorted, identified, and labelled accordingly. Identification process was guided with proper references for Formicidae (Bolton, 1994) in addition to the consultation made with experts for the taxa, while taxa distribution was confirmed using online sources such as AntWiki (www.antwiki.org) and AntWeb (www.antweb.org). Ant diversity was calculated using Shannon-Weiner (H') formula, while the evenness of ant distribution across habitats was with Pielou index (J) formula (Magurran, 2004).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A total of 11 species, 9 genera that belonging to 8 tribes, 3 subfamilies and 19 ant individuals of ants were collected using coloured pan traps from the three habitats surveyed in ESBP site. These specimens were scrutinized into (Table 1). Pan traps at *Acacia* agroforestry collected 9 ant individuals, while the ones set at secondary forest resulted in 8 ant individuals and at paddy field only yielded 2 ant individuals. Identification put that two species were recorded at paddy field and three species at *Acacia* agroforestry habitats, on the other hand seven species booked at secondary forest habitat. It seemed that the vegetation diversity and density of canopy coverage increase resource availability (e.g. foods) for ants, which in turn affects ant population in that given environment. Previous study at forest ecosystem using quadra protocol confirmed that ants were more abundance and more diverse in this compared to other types of habitats surveyed in the same study (Herwina *et al.*, 2024).

Table 1. The taxonomy and composition of ants collected from Emil Salim Biodiversity Park, Sawahlunto City, West Sumatra, Indonesia. (B: blue pan trap; W: white pan trap; Y: yellow pan trap)

| No | Sub family Tribe Species | Forest | | | Σ | Acacia agroforestry | | | Σ | Paddy field | | | Σ | TOTAL |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|------------------------|---|---|---|----------------|---|---|---|-------|
| | | B | W | Y | | B | W | Y | | B | W | Y | | |
| | | Dolichoderinae | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dolichoderini | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | <i>Dolichoderus thoracicus</i> (F. Smith, 1860) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Tapinomini | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | <i>Tapinoma melanocephalum</i> (Fabricius, 1793) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Formicinae | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Campotini | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | <i>Camponotus arrogans</i> (F. Smith, 1858) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 4 | <i>Camponotus bedoti</i> Emery, 1893 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Lasiini | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | <i>Nylanderia</i> sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Plagiolepidini | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | <i>Anoplolepis gracilipes</i> (F. Smith, 1857) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Myrmicinae | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Attini | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | <i>Pheidole</i> sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Crematogastrini | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | <i>Crematogaster fraxatrix</i> Forel, 1911 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 9 | <i>Crematogaster quadriruga</i> Forel, 1911 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 10 | <i>Tetramorium indicum</i> Forel, 1913 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Solenopsidini | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | <i>Monomorium floricola</i> (Jerdon, 1851) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Σ individual | | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 |
| Σ species | | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |

Numerous variables, including temporal and geographical distribution of foods, food quality, level of competition, and impact of parasitisation or predation, affect ant foraging behaviour (Traniello, 1989). The general state of nutrition in a colony, in addition the presence of foragers from other colonies intensifies foraging activity of ants. Worker ants often tend to choose the best food sources from the surroundings, they then use pheromone markings to direct other workers to the food source (Hölldobler and Wilson, 2009; Jeanson *et al.*, 2012).

In general, the ESBP site had moderate ant diversity (Table 2). The secondary forest habitat, however, possessed the highest ant diversity among other ($H' = 1.09$) and rice field as the lowest one ($H' = 0.69$). The variation of vegetation among habitat, was again thought to be the reason for this situation. Ants are commonly omnivores that opportunistically feed on a wide range of food hence put them at various trophic level in the ecosystem; therefore, ants can take advantage of a variety of nutritional resources (Hunter, 2009; Jaques *et al.*, 2023). Certain ant species, however, display specific eating habits, including

nectarivorous, fungivorour, granivorous, direct herbivorous and predator; they can also be indirectly herbivory by consuming hemipteran honeydew (Beattie, 1985; Hölldobler and Wilson, 1990). Environmental circumstances that apply in ants' habitats also affect the nutritional levels of food resources (Blüthgen *et al.*, 2003; Davidson *et al.*, 2003; Fiedler *et al.*, 2007; Csata and Dussutour, 2019). This study help contributing in addressing how habitat typicality affects ant composition, and in the long run provide useful information for managing and conserving ecosystems.

Table 2. Diversity Indices of Ant Community at Three Habitats in Emil Salim Biodiversity Park, Sawahlunto City, West Sumatra, Indonesia

| Habitat | Number of species | H' index | Category |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------|----------|
| Forest | 7 | 1.09 | Moderate |
| Acacia agroforestry | 3 | 1.06 | Moderate |
| Paddy field | 2 | 0.69 | Moderate |

Sampling Rate Based on Pan Trap Color

In this study, pan traps with blue containers were more frequently visited by ants than the white or yellow ones (Table 3). Blue pan traps captured the highest diversity and abundance of ants, while yellow ones showed the least effectivity. Previous study examined the efficiency of Malaise and blue pan traps in capturing pollinators in forested environments (Campbell and Hanula, 2007; Westerberg *et al.*, 2021). It was concluded that pan traps with blue coloration worked the most efficient for sampling insects. Additionally, it was discovered that putting Malaise traps with certain coloration had helped captured more pollinator insects; emphasized that certain distinct pollinator groups exhibited preferences for particular colour (Das and Das, 2023). Pan traps generally yielded more captures of pollinators than the Malaise traps.

Using a wide array of coloured pan-traps helps to efficiently sample a vast diversity of

flower-visiting insect species. Despite this research indicated the blue pan-trap gained the most sampling, many previous studies indicated that the yellow ones had higher successful rate in capturing pollinator insects rather than the blue ones. Saunders and Luck (2013), for instance, found that albeit the capture varied by environments, the yellow pan traps collected the most insects above other colours ones used. It was also effectively applied to sample native Hymenoptera in natural or semi-natural habitats (e.g. gardens), while the blue pan-traps were more successful at mallee environments such as almond gardens. While the debate on which more effective between blue- or yellow coloured pan-traps, the data from previous studies, the yellow and white pan traps are suitable for surveying pollinating insects, while blue ones are for estimating the abundance and diversity of insects in general (Kočíková *et al.*, 2012; Kočíková *et al.*, 2014; Csanády *et al.*, 2021).

Table 3. Trapping yield based on trap colour at Emil Salim Biodiversity Park, Sawahlunto City, West Sumatra, Indonesia (B: blue, W: white; Y: yellow)

| No | Species | B | W | Y | TOTAL |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| 1 | <i>Dolichoderus thoracicus</i> (F. Smith, 1860) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | <i>Tapinoma melanocephalum</i> (Fabricius, 1793) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 | <i>Camponotus arrogans</i> (F. Smith, 1858) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 4 | <i>Camponotus bedoti</i> Emery, 1893 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | <i>Nylanderia</i> sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | <i>Anoplolepis gracilipes</i> (F. Smith, 1857) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 7 | <i>Pheidole</i> sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | <i>Crematogaster fraxatrix</i> Forel, 1911 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 9 | <i>Crematogaster quadriruga</i> Forel, 1911 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 10 | <i>Tetramorium indicum</i> Forel, 1913 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 11 | <i>Monomorium floricola</i> (Jerdon, 1851) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total number of ant individual | | 14 | 4 | 1 | 19 |
| Total number of ant species | | 9 | 4 | 1 | 11 |

It is hardly to specify a single colour assigned to trap all targeted insects in various types of habitats, it is emphasized the significance of taking habitat variables into account while conducting trap surveys (Saunders and Luck, 2013). Aside from further exploring the effectivity of yellow and blue pan trap for sampling non-Formicid Hymenopterans, paying more attention

to canopy cover and light conditions could provide essential insights (Abrahamczyk *et al.*, 2010). It is also recommended to compare the use of pan traps at forest with various structural configurations to justify the effectiveness of trap colour. While ants are generally not considered as common pollinators, they play role in pollinating some succulent and other low-growing plants,

particularly in hard, dry soils where other pollinators may be scarce. In addition, ants tend to collect nectar without performing effective cross-pollination. Ants exude natural substances from its body surface that act as antibiotics that protect them from infections which in most case also kill pollens that come into contact with them, render their ineffectiveness as pollinators.

CONCLUSION

The diversity of vegetation in a certain habitat influences ants' diversity in ESBP. Secondary forest habitat was found to harbor more ant species than the *Acacia* agroforestry or rice field, as dense vegetation is deemed to be rich with resources needed by ants. Majority of ant inventory found at ESBP showed preference for blue pan traps as resulted in more sampling yielded than what observed in traps with other color used in this study. More researches are needed to reveal the effectiveness of using certain color for traps that capture insect specimens.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was made possible after the financial support provided by grant scheme 'Riset Penelitian Terindeks 2022 (RPT 2022)' with contract number: T/88/UN.16.17/PT.01.03/Pangan-RPT/2022 on behalf of Henny Herwina.

REFERENCES

- Abrahamczyk, S., Steudel, B., Kessler, M. 2010. Sampling Hymenoptera along a precipitation gradient in tropical forests: the effectiveness of different coloured pan traps. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*. 137 (3): 262-268.
- AntWiki editors. 2024. Summary of Taxa Described for Year. https://antwiki.org/wiki/Summary_of_Taxa_Described_for_Year. Accessed on 25 July 2024.
- Beattie, A.J. 1985: The evolutionary ecology of ant-plant mutualisms. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 120 pp.
- Blüthgen, N., Gebauer, G. and Fiedler, K. 2003: Disentangling a rainforest food web using stable isotopes: dietary diversity in a species-rich ant community. *Oecologia* 137: 426-435.
- Bolton, B. 1994. *Identification guide to the ant genera of the world*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA. Pp. 222.
- Buffington, M.L., Garretson, A., Kula, R.R., Gates, M.W., Carpenter, R., Smith, D.R., and Kula, A.A.R. 2021. Pan trap color preference across Hymenoptera in a forest clearing. *Entomology*. 169: 298–311.
- Campbell, J.W and Hanula, J.L. 2007. Efficiency of Malaise traps and colored pan traps for collecting flower visiting insects from three forested ecosystems. *Journal of Insect Conservation* 11: 399-408.
- Csanády, A., Oboňa, J., Zapletalová, L., Panigaj, L., Dojčáková, D. and Záleta, B. 2021. Hymenopteran color preference using multiple colours of pan traps in Slovakia. *Acta Mus. Siles. Sci. Nature* Vol. 70: 33-46.
- Csata, E., and Dussutour, A. 2019. Nutrient regulation in ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): a review. *Myrmecological News* 29: 111-124.
- Das, S. and Das, A. 2023. Ants are more than just curious bystanders to some flowers—they act as significant pollinators. *Frontiers in Insect Science*. Jurnal review.
- Davidson, D.W., Cook, S.C., Snelling, R.R. and Chua, T.H. 2003. Explaining the abundance of ants in lowland tropical rainforest canopies. *Science* 300: 969-972.
- Fiedler, K., Kuhlmann, F., Schlick-Steiner, B.C., Steiner, F.M. and Gebauer, G. 2007. Stable N-isotope signatures of central European ants – assessing positions in a trophic gradient. – *Insectes Sociaux* 54: 393-402.
- Herwina, H., Tasman, R.J., Nurdi, J., Janra, M.N., and Satria, R. 2024. Ant (Hymenoptera, Formicidae) diversity at a rehabilitated coal mining area in Sawahlunto city, West Sumatera. *Jurnal of Entomology Research*. Vol 48(1): 112-117.
- Hölldobler, B. and Wilson, E.O. 2009. *The superorganism: the beauty, elegance, and strangeness of insect societies*. WW Norton & Company, New York, NY, XXI. 522.
- Hunter, M.D. 2009. Trophic promiscuity, intraguild predation and the problem of omnivores. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology* 11: 125-131.
- Hutchinson, L.A., Oliver, T.H., Breeze, T.D., O'Connor, R.S., Potts, S.G., Roberts, S.P.M., and Garratt, M.P.D. 2022. Inventorying and monitoring crop pollinating bees: Evaluating the effectiveness of common sampling methods. *Insect Conservations. Diversity*. 15: 299–311.

- Jaques, S.A., Perez, C.J., Murúa, M.M., Vieli, L., and Fontúrbel, F.E. 2023. Crop-Specific Effects on Pan-Trap Sampling of Potential Pollinators as Influenced by Trap Color and Location. *Agronomy* 13 (552): 1-16.
- Jeanson, R., Dussoutour, A. and Fourcassié, V. 2012. Key factors for the emergence of collective decision in invertebrates. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 6(121): 1-15.
- Kočíková L., Miklisová D., Čanády A. & Panigaj L. 2012. Is colour an important factor influencing the behaviour of butterflies (Lepidoptera: Hesperioidea, Papilionoidea). *European Journal of Entomology* 109: 403-410.
- Kočíková L., Čanády A. & Panigaj L. 2014. Change in a butterfly community on a gradually overgrowing site. *Russian Journal of Ecology* 45(5): 391-398.
- Krahner, A., Schmidt, J., Maixner, M., Porten, M., and Schmitt, T. 2021. Evaluation of four different methods for assessing bee diversity as ecological indicators of agro-ecosystems. *Ecological Indicators*. 125: 1-11.
- Krauss, S.L., Roberts, D.G., Phillips, R.D., and Edwards, C. 2018. Effectiveness of camera traps for quantifying daytime and nighttime visitation by vertebrate pollinators. *Ecology and Evolution* 8: 9304–9314.
- Magurran, A.E. 2004. *Measuring biological diversity*. Blackwell Science Ltd, United Kingdom, pp. 256.
- Mendes, M.F., Gottschalk, M.S., Halinski, R., Moreira, H.R., Dalmorra, C., and Valente-Gaiesky. V.L.S. 2021. First report of colored pan traps to capture Drosophilidae (Diptera). *Rev. Bras. Entomology* 65 (3): 1-7.
- Naqvi, Q., Wolff, P.J., Molano-Flores, B., and Sperry, J.H. 2022. Camera traps are an effective tool for monitoring insect-plant interactions. *Ecology and Evolution* 12 (6): 1-9.
- O'Connor, R.S., Kunin, W.E., Garratt, M.P.D., Potts, S.G., Roy, H.E., Andrews, C., Jones, C.M., Peyton, J.M., Savage, J., and Harvey, M.C. 2019. Monitoring insect pollinators and flower visitation: The effectiveness and feasibility of different survey methods. *Methods Ecology*. 10: 2129–2140.
- Saunders, M.E and Luck, G.W. 2013. Pan trap catches of pollinator insect vary with habitat. *Australian Journal of Entomology* 52(2): 106-113.
- Traniello, J.F. 1989. Foraging strategies of ants. *Annual Review of Entomology* 34: 191-210.
- US Department of Agriculture. 2024. Ant Pollination. <https://www.fs.usda.gov/managing-land/wildflowers/pollinators/who-are-the-pollinators/ants#:~:text=Ants%20are%20wingle%20and%20must,grains%20attach%20to%20their%20bodies>. Accessed on 8 July 2024.
- Vrdoljak, S.M., and Samways, M.J. 2012. Optimising coloured pan traps to survey flower visiting insects. *Insect Conservation* 16: 345–354.
- Westerberg, L., Berglund, H.L, Jonason, D., and Milberg, P. 2021. Color pan traps often catch less when there are more flowers around. *Ecology Evolution* 11: 3830–3840.